Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,525	RUBY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara Summons	2817
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Barbara Summons</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. D. James Chung (Reg. No. 41,678).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 December 2005</u>	Time: <u>3:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: claim 23	·	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Brilaia Summons		
BARBARA SUMMONS PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/785,525

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that while rewriting objected to claim 23 in independent form, the subject matter of intervening claim 22 was inadvertently omitted. It was agreed that the Examiner would add the missing material by the accompanying examiner's amendment..